

(b) We can find the absorbance at a given temperature from the equation of the line in (a): $\ln A = -4.813 (1/T) + 14.90$. At 31.8°C , $1/T = 0.003279 \text{ K}^{-1}$, giving $\ln A = -0.8829$, or $A = 0.4136$. To find the molar absorptivity, we need to convert the pressure of toluene into a concentration in mol/L. The vapor pressure is $\frac{40.0 \text{ Torr}}{760 \text{ Torr/atm}} \frac{1.01325 \text{ bar}}{\text{atm}} = 0.05333 \text{ bar}$. From the ideal gas law, we can say

$$\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} = \frac{n}{V} = \frac{P}{RT} = \frac{0.05333 \text{ bar}}{(0.083145 \text{ L}\cdot\text{bar/mol}\cdot\text{K})(304.95 \text{ K})} = 2.103 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$$

$$\text{The molar absorptivity is } \epsilon = \frac{A}{bc} = \frac{0.4136}{(1.00 \text{ cm})(2.103 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})} = 1.97 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$$

18-15. Neocuproine reacts with Cu(I) and prevents it from forming a complex with ferrozine that would give a false positive result in the analysis of iron.

18-16. (a) $c = A/\epsilon b = 0.427/[(6.130 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})(1.000 \text{ cm})] = 6.97 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$

(b) The sample had been diluted $\times 10 \Rightarrow 6.97 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$

(c) $\frac{x \text{ g}}{(292.16 \text{ g/mol})(5.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ L})} = 6.97 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \Rightarrow x = 1.02 \text{ mg}$

18-17. Yes.

18-18. (a) $\epsilon = \frac{A}{cb} = \frac{0.267 - 0.019}{(3.15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M})(1.000 \text{ cm})} = 7.87 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

(b) $c = \frac{A}{\epsilon b} = \frac{0.175 - 0.019}{(7.87 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})(1.000 \text{ cm})} = 1.98 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$

18-19. (a) The absorbance due to the colored product from nitrite added to sample C is $0.967 - 0.622 = 0.345$. The concentration of colored product due to added nitrite in sample C is $\frac{(7.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})(10.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ L})}{0.054 \text{ L}} = 1.389 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$

$$\epsilon = A/bc = 0.345/[(1.389 \times 10^{-6})(5.00)] = 4.97 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

(b) $7.50 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol}$ of nitrite (from $10.0 \mu\text{L}$ added to sample C) gives

$A = 0.345$. In sample B, x mole of nitrite in food extract gives

$$A = 0.622 - 0.153 = 0.469$$

$$\frac{x \text{ mol}}{7.50 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol}} = \frac{0.469}{0.345} \Rightarrow x = 1.020 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol NO}_2^- = 4.69 \mu\text{g}$$

18-20.	Curve	Absorbance Measured on Graph	[Fe ³⁺] (ng/mL)
	a	0.084	0
	b	0.278	0.40
	c	0.472	0.80
	d	0.666	1.20
	e	0.860	1.60

(a) A graph of absorbance vs [Fe³⁺](ng/mL) has a slope (k) of 0.485 and an intercept (b) of 0.084.

(b) [Fe³⁺] in final solution = $\frac{1}{k}(A_t - b) = \left(\frac{1}{0.485}\right)(0.515 - 0.084) = 0.889$ ng/mL. But the unknown was diluted from 5.00 to 26.00 mL.

Therefore [Fe³⁺] in unknown = $\left(\frac{26.00}{5.00}\right)(0.889) = 4.62$ ng/mL = 82.7 nM.

18-21. $n \rightarrow \pi^*(T_1)$:

$$E = h\nu = h\frac{c}{\lambda} = (6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s}) \frac{2.9979 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}}{397 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}} = 5.00 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$$

To convert to J/mol, multiply by Avogadro's number:

$$5.00 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J/molecule} \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules/mol} = 301 \text{ kJ/mol.}$$

$n \rightarrow \pi^*(S_1)$:

$$E = (6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s}) \frac{2.9979 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}}{355 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}} = 5.60 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} = 337 \text{ kJ/mol.}$$

The difference between the T₁ and S₁ states is 337 - 301 = 36 kJ/mol.

18-22. Fluorescence is emission of light with no change in the electronic spin state of the molecule (e. g. singlet \rightarrow singlet). In phosphorescence, the electronic spin does change during emission (e. g. triplet \rightarrow singlet). Phosphorescence is less probable, so molecules spend more time in the excited state prior to phosphorescence than to fluorescence. That is, phosphorescence has a longer lifetime than fluorescence. Phosphorescence also comes at lower energy (longer wavelength) than fluorescence, because the triplet excited state is at lower energy than the singlet excited state.

18-23. Luminescence is light given off after a molecule absorbs light. Chemiluminescence is light given off by a molecule created in an excited state in a chemical reaction.

18-24. Phosphorescence is emitted at longer wavelength than fluorescence. Absorption is at shortest wavelength.